

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Vocal learning in seals, sea lions, and walruses Colleen Reichmuth¹ and Caroline Casey²



The pinnipeds provide a variety of clues to those interested in the vocal learning capabilities of non-human animals. Observational and experimental studies of seals, sea lions, and walruses reveal elements of vocal development, contextual control, plasticity in expression and learning, and even imitation of complex sounds. Consideration of the factors that influence the expression of these capabilities informs understanding of the behavioral and structural mechanisms that support vocal learning in mammals and the evolutionary forces shaping these capabilities.

Addresses

¹ Institute of Marine Sciences, Long Marine Laboratory, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, USA ² Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of

California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, USA

Corresponding author: Reichmuth, Colleen (coll@ucsc.edu)

Current Opinion in Neurobiology 2014, 28:66-71

This review comes from a themed issue on $\ensuremath{\textbf{Communication}}$ and $\ensuremath{\textbf{language}}$

Edited by Michael Brainard and Tecumseh Fitch

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.06.011

0959-4388/Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Comparative studies of animal communication provide insight into the origins of language in our own species, including the ability to acquire new sounds on the basis of social or environmental experience. For this reason alone, the topic of vocal learning — concerned with exploring how plasticity in sound production supports complex communication abilities — is important. Beyond their anthropocentric value, comparative studies of vocal learning clarify the proximal mechanisms that enable plasticity in sound production and usage in different species. They also offer clues as to how these mechanisms are linked to evolutionary pressures shaping neurobiological and anatomical function. Given the predominant interest in specialized human language acquisition, the search for rudimentary vocal learning abilities in other animals has turned up puzzling gaps in the emergence and existence of these capabilities. Although some distantly related birds (parrots, songbirds, and hummingbirds) are capable of sound modification associated with learning and auditory exposure, comparable capabilities have only recently

been identified in mammals. These include some terrestrial (bats, elephants) and marine (cetaceans, pinnipeds) mammals with quite divergent evolutionary histories, ecological niches, and social constraints. Among these, the pinnipeds enable a unique view of the proximate and ultimate bases of vocal learning.

The pinnipeds are notable for their truly amphibious lifestyles — while they forage exclusively under water, they rest, give birth, and care for their young while hauled out on land or ice, often dividing their time equally between land and sea. There are three distinct lineages of extant pinnipeds (Box 1). The true seals (*Phocidae*), sea lions (*Otariidae*), and walruses (*Odobendiae*) share many adaptations as a result of their semi-aquatic habits, including fusiform body shape and flippered appendages [3]. The three clades also share characteristics — independent of those related to growth and maturation — that support the ability to acquire new sounds.

Collectively, pinnipeds produce a variety of sounds, both in air and in water [4,5[•]]. Many vocalizations have specialized functions related individual recognition [6] or reproductive displays [7^{••},8,9]; however, the proximal mechanisms supporting sound production are not well described [10[•]]. Primary adaptations associated with underwater foraging afford a high degree of voluntary breath control to all pinnipeds. In some species, air cycling occurs within the respiratory system and vocal tract, which may include specialized tracheal, pharyngeal, or nasal air sacs, or hypertrophied tracheal membranes, thought to be involved in sound production [10[•]]. These structures are particularly compliant and able to withstand significant changes in volume under hydrostatic pressure [11]. Although the larynx may be involved in underwater sound production, many species produce typical underwater calls without the release of air [10] that remain constant with changes in depth and corresponding pressures [12]. In addition, the walrus [13,14] and many seals [15–17] have especially fleshy and labile oral tissues used for underwater suction feeding (and in some cases, underwater nursing [18,19]) that further enhance the diversity of their sound emissions through vibration or manipulation of supralaryngeal structures [7^{••},10[•]].

Talking harbor seals

The most well-known and popularized account of any mammal modifying its vocalizations on the basis of social experience comes from Hoover, a captive male harbor seal that, as an adult, produced a variety of human expressions including the phases '*Hoova! Hoova! Hey!*',

Box 1 Phylogenetic relationships among the pinnipeds. Three families of the order Carnivora are highlighted and shown in reference to the *Canidae* (canine) and *Ursidae* (bear) outgroups. The *Phocidae* (true seal) lineage has been isolated from other pinnipeds for at least 24 million years. The *Otariidae* (sea lion and fur seal) and *Odobenidae* (walrus) lineages have been separated for at least 18 million years. The evolutionary distances between the clades are noted to emphasize the point that similar traits among these lineages are often the result of convergent adaptations. The species mentioned in the text, including the three examples depicted to the right side of the figure, can be cross-referenced to the phylogenetic tree. The figure is redrawn from [1,2] with divergence dates from [2]. Branch lengths are not scaled to divergence dates. Photos: A. Friedlaender (harbor seal), C. Reichmuth (sea lion), P. Nicklen (walrus).



'Hello there!', and 'Hey! Hey! Come over here!' in a recognizable New England accent. Remarkably, Hoover's speech sounds not only replicated English words, but also the tone, accent, and attitude of a particular individual [20°,21°°,22]. Although similar in frequency range to the guttural growls of harbor seals, these vocalizations contained at least twelve appropriately ordered words that were readily discernable to human listeners $[7^{\bullet}, 23]$. The origin of Hoover's imitative vocal behavior is uncertain, but likely stems from his early rearing in a household in Maine [22]. This mysterious but well documented case of a 'talking' seal inspired several subsequent attempts to teach other harbor seals to produce speech-like sounds [7^{••},21^{••},23] including Hoover's descendants [K. Streeter, personal communication]. These efforts showed that novel variants of species-typical sounds could be induced through operant conditioning, and learned and repeated by the seals that were trained. However, despite some interesting findings of vocal plasticity and learning produced by these teaching attempts, Hoover's rendition of human speech remains the sole convincing evidence of vocal imitation by a pinniped.

Vocal learning in the laboratory

Pinnipeds can be readily trained and studied in captivity. As a result, much has been learned about their vocal behavior and capabilities [24,25]. Early observational studies showed strong seasonal trends in sound production associated with reproductive cycles in sea lions, and social suppression of this vocal behavior by dominant individuals [26]. Subsequent behavioral conditioning studies demonstrated volitional control of vocalizations in sea lions, seals, and walruses: individuals could be systematically trained using food rewards to produce species-typical vocalizations - and to inhibit these vocalizations - in response to specific arbitrary cues [7^{••},27–30,31[•]]. Adret [32[•]] advocated that the understanding of vocal learning abilities in animals would benefit from the focused application of operant conditioning techniques, and this viewpoint has been supported by empirical studies conducted with pinnipeds. Sea lions [33], gray seals [31[•]], harbor seals [7^{••}], and walruses [7^{••}] can all learn to produce sounds from their own repertoire in response to different discriminative stimuli, regardless of emotional context, seasonality, or social conditions. Although there have been no findings from the laboratory to rival the vocal mimicry ability demonstrated by Hoover, there is evidence that adult harbor seals [7^{••}] and walruses [34[•]] can be taught to produce novel sounds and novel sound combinations through reinforcement training that selects for variability in responses. Such training reveals plasticity in sound production that may be modified by social or environmental consequences under natural conditions.

Vocal learning in nature

Several field studies support the hypothesis that vocal learning occurs in free-ranging pinnipeds. Geographic differences in call types or repertoires have been described for underwater rutting vocalizations of Weddell seals [35–37], leopard seals [38], harbor seals [39,40], harp seals [41], and bearded seals [42], raising the possibility that social learning alters sound production in these species [43,44]. With respect to the social transmission of more complex sounds, there is also evidence that male walruses can modify their patterned underwater songs and adopt new song variants over the course of a few breeding seasons [45[•]]. This finding is reminiscent of the regional song transmission exhibited by humpback whales [46-48], which is often cited as a classic example of mammalian vocal learning [44]. Despite accumulating evidence of geographic or temporal shifts in the vocalizations of some pinnipeds, it remains difficult to attribute these cases to social transmission because of the possible confounds of genetic, developmental, and other contributing factors.

One case that might clarify the bases of regional differences in vocalizations is that of the northern elephant seal. Among the largest of seals, this species was reduced to 10-30 individuals after exhaustive commercial exploitation in the 1800s [49]. Genetic homogeneity due to inbreeding among descendants of the few surviving seals [50] provided the opportunity to assess whether social learning contributes to geographic variability in vocalizations. Le Boeuf and Peterson recorded the breeding displays of male elephant seals from distant colonies after the population had substantially recovered in numbers and expanded in range [51]. These investigators found similarities in the calls of males recorded at particular breeding sites and differences in calls between breeding sites. They asserted these findings as evidence of vocal dialects, and concluded that in the absence of strong genetic variation, that male seals most likely acquired their site-specific vocalizations by learning the calls of surrounding males and adopting the vocal peculiarities of their geographic region.

Further support for the influence of social learning on vocal production comes from southern elephant seals recorded during reproductive development. Similar to their northern counterpart, male southern elephant seals transition from possessing non-structured, variable threat vocalizations as sub-adults to producing stereotyped, fixed calls as adults [52,53^{••}]. However, southern elephant seals are not genetically constrained [54]. Longitudinal recordings of individual southern elephant seal males showed that an adult's vocalization was most similar in type to that of the dominant male present during the sub-adult period of development [53^{••}]. After confirming that shared call types could not be explained by relatedness, Sanvito et al. [53**] concluded that vocal learning as a result of auditory exposure best explained this finding. Interestingly, the shift from high plasticity to high specificity in the individual vocalizations of both

northern and southern male elephant seals during ontogeny can be compared to that of songbirds, the phylogenetic group that has most convincingly demonstrated advanced vocal learning capabilities [55].

Isolation experiments would be required to determine whether elephant seals and other pinniped species have a crucial periods of social learning that are important to vocal development. Although such social deprivation studies are not likely to be conducted intentionally, a few opportunistic observations are relevant to this issue. One female northern elephant seal raised in isolation from conspecifics spontaneously developed an unusual call, not present in wild populations, which persisted throughout her lifetime [56]. In another example, a male walrus calf, raised in captivity through adulthood with female but not male conspecifics, developed and seasonally emitted rhythmic components of adult song [57], but failed to produce the organized song structure characteristic of the species [8]. These anecdotes suggest the potential for vocal learning and indicate that further ontogenetic study of pinniped vocal development is warranted.

Comparative implications

Theoretical explanations for the ability of some birds and mammals to modify their calls through learning generally assume primary adaptation for communicative or cognitive function [58[•]]. It is not yet clear whether this assumption is correct for the pinniped lineages. Like other marine mammals, seals, sea lions, and walruses all demonstrate a high degree of voluntary control over their sound emissions, an ability that is likely related to respiratory adaptations for diving. Plasticity in sound production reported for walruses and seals is further enabled by adaptations of oral structures related to specialized suction feeding and underwater nursing. Such motor affordances enable supralaryngeal filtering of sounds through subtle movements of the mouth, lips, and tongue. The role of other specialized respiratory structures in sound production, including the pharyngeal air sacs of walruses, the tracheal air sacs of ribbon seals, and the enhanced tracheal structures of bearded seals, are intriguing but remain poorly understood [10[•]].

In a manner analogous to that of odontocete cetaceans, the patterned sequences of knocks, bells, and whistles emitted by male walruses during the breeding season show apparent modification by social experience while bypassing typical mammalian sound pathways entirely. This evidence of 'vocal' song learning in walruses through manipulation of non-laryngeal structures parallels that for 'vocal' learning in some odontocetes cetaceans, who produce socially relevant sounds with highly specialized respiratory structures [10[•]] and can acquire new sounds through mimicry [59]. Regardless of how communication signals are emitted, it is evident that — in addition to the social and cognitive drivers of vocal learning — environmental adaptations related to aquatic living have influenced the emergence of these capabilities in marine mammals.

Despite mounting evidence favoring their capacity for vocal learning, much remains to be learned about sound production and acoustic communication in seals, sea lions, and walruses. Presently, there is little data available on the natural acoustic repertoires of several species. Future work should further characterize species-typical sound types in the wild, in hopes of understanding the function and ontogeny of acoustic communication under natural conditions. Additionally, some of the rigorous approaches that have been fruitful in evaluating vocal learning capabilities in birds and primates could be further adapted for pinnipeds raised in captivity. Replicated, cross-socialization experiments would allow for control over environmental and genetic influences of vocal development. Operant conditioning of vocal behavior could provide more evidence on the extents and limits of vocal plasticity and learning in different species. The most convincing evidence of vocal learning would be provided by examples of individuals acquiring new sounds following novel auditory exposures. Finally, detailed studies of anatomical and neurobiological mechanisms are required to describe the physical and cognitive template that supports vocal learning capabilities. Based on the available evidence, seals, sea lions, and walruses are unique and productive models for studies of vocal learning. At present, our limited understanding remains insufficient to explain the extraordinary vocal behavior of Hoover the harbor seal.

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

Acknowledgements

This manuscript was inspired by many thoughtful and spirited discussions with Dr. R. Schusterman, whose studies of both primates and pinnipeds helped to shape our views about the mechanisms of vocal learning. We thank Dr. P. Cook for his comments during the preparation of this manuscript, and A. Rouse for assistance with Box 1.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- · of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. Berta A, Churchill M: Pinniped taxonomy: review of currently recognized species and subspecies, and evidence used for their description. *Mammal Rev* 2011, **42.3**:207-234.
- Higdon JW, Bininda-Emonds ORF, Beck RMD, Ferguson SH: Phylogeny and divergence of the pinnipeds (Carnivora: Mammalia) assessed using a multigene dataset. BMC Evol Biol 2007, 7:216.
- 3. Berta A: Return to the Sea: The Life and Evolutionary Times of Marine Mammals. UC Press; 2012.

- 4. Wartzok D, Ketten DR: Marine mammal sensory systems. In Biology of Marine Mammals. Edited by Reynolds J, Rommel S. Smithsonian Institution Press; 1999:117-175.
- Van Parijs SM, Schusterman RJ (Eds): Aquatic Mamm, 29 Aquatic 5.
- mammals: special issue on animal vocal communication. 2003, 29.1-319

This special journal issue offers a synthesis of research on the topic of pinniped vocal communication. The articles included cover both descriptive acoustic and behavioral data, and describe ecological and evolutionary drivers of pinniped communication systems.

- Insley SJ, Phillips AV, Charrier I: A review of social recognition in 6. pinnipeds. Aquatic Mamm 2003. 29:81-201.
- 7
- Schusterman RJ: Vocal learning in mammals with special emphasis on pinnipeds. In The Evolution of Communicative ... Flexibility: Complexity, Creativity, and Adaptability in Human and Animal Communication. Edited by Oller DK, Gribel U. MIT Press; 2008:41-70

This chapter offers a comprehensive overview of pinniped acoustic communication with special focus on the role of learning in sound production. The author describes two relevant experimental case studies that used operant conditioning methods to modify sound production in one captive male harbor seal and four captive walruses.

- Stirling I, Calvert W, Spencer C: Evidence of stereotyped 8. underwater vocalizations of male Atlantic walruses (Odobenus rosmarus rosmarus). Can J Zool 1987, 65:2311-2320.
- Stirling I, Thomas JA: Relationships between underwater 9. vocalizations and mating systems in phocid seals. Aquatic Mamm 2003, 29:227-246.
- 10. Tyack PL, Miller EH: Vocal anatomy, acoustic communication and echolocation. In Marine Mammal Biology: An Evolutionary Approach. Edited by Hoezel AR. Blackwell Science; 2002:142-184.

This book chapter provides a primer on sound production in marine mammals, including review of anatomical structures and specializations found in various species.

- Moore C, Moore M, Trumble S, Niemeyer M, Lentell B, McLellan W, Costidis A, Fahlman A: A comparative analysis of marine mammal tracheas. J Exp Biol 2013, 217:1154-1160.
- 12. Moors HB, Terhune JM: Calling depth and time and frequency attributes of harp (Pagophilus groenlandicus) and Weddell (Leptonychotes weddellii) seal underwater vocalizations. Can J Zool 2005, 83:1438-1440.
- 13. Kastelein RA, Dubbeldam JL, De Bakker M: The anatomy of the walrus head (Odobenus rosmarus) Part 5: The tongue and its function in walrus ecology. Aquatic Mamm 1997, 23:29-47.
- 14. Gordon KR: Suction feeding in the walrus. Am Zool 1980, 20:4.
- 15. Marshall CD, Kovacs KM, Lydersen C: Feeding kinematics, suction and hydraulic jetting capabilities in bearded seals (Erignathus barbatus). J Exp Biol 2008, 211:699-708
- 16. Marshall CD, Wieskotten S, Hanke W, Hanke FD, Marsh A, Kot B, Dehnhardt G: Feeding kinematics, suction, and hydraulic jetting performance of harbor seals (Phoca vitulina). PloS One 2014 9:e86710
- 17. Hocking DP, Evans AR, Fitzgerald EMG: Leopard seals (Hydrurga leptonyx) use suction and filter feeding when hunting small prey underwater. Polar Biol 2013, 36:211-222
- Boness DJ, Bowen WD: The evolution of maternal care in 18. pinnipeds. Bioscience 1996:645-654.
- Venables UM, Venables LSV, Matthews LH: Observations on a 19. breeding colony of the seal Phoca vitulina in Shetland. Proc Zool Soc Lond 1955, 125:3-4.
- 20. Deacon TW: The Symbolic Species. WW Norton & Company; 1997

In Chapter 8 (The Talking Brain) of his comprehensive book, Deacon discusses his own observations of Hoover's imitation of speech sounds and considers the potential neurobiological bases for this behavior.

- 21. Ralls K, Fiorelli P, Gish S: Vocalizations and vocal mimicry in
- captive harbor seals, Phoca vitulina. Can J Zool 1985, 63:1050-1056.

Vocalizations recorded from captive harbor seals demonstrate at least one adult male was capable of producing sounds similar to English phrases, and suggest that male seals have the ability to acquire sounds not previously found in their vocal repertoire. This is the original report of vocal imitation by Hoover, which continues to be the most dramatic example of vocal mimicry by a non-human mammal.

22. Hiss A: Hoover. New Yorker; 1983 Jan 3:. 25-27.

This popular article describes the backstory of Hoover's early rearing, behavior, and vocal development.

- 23. Moore BR:: The evolution of imitative learning. In Social Learning in Animals: The Roots of Culture. Edited by Heyes CM, Galef BG. Academic Press; 1996:245-265.
- 24. Schusterman RJ: Behavioral capabilities of seals and sea lions: a review of their hearing, visual, learning and diving skills Psychol Rec 1981, **31**:125-144.
- 25. Schusterman RJ, Reichmuth Kastak C, Kastak D: The cognitive sea lion: meaning and memory in the lab and in nature. In The Cognitive Animal: Empirical and Theoretical Perspectives on Animal Cognition. Edited by Bekoff M, Allen C, Burghardt G. MIT Press; 2002:217-228.
- 26. Schusterman RJ, Dawson RG: Barking, dominance, and territoriality in male sea lions. Science 1968. 160:434-436.
- 27. Schusterman RJ, Feinstein SH: Shaping and discriminative control of underwater click vocalizations in a California sea lion. Science 1965, 150:1743-1750.
- 28. Schusterman RJ, Balliet RF: Conditioned vocalization as a technique for determining visual acuity thresholds in the seal lion. Science 1970, 169:498-501.
- 29. Schusterman RJ, Balliet RF, Nixon J: Underwater audiogram of the California sea lion by the conditioned vocalization technique. J Exp Anal Behav 1972, 17:339-350.
- 30. Schusterman RJ: Vocal communication in pinnipeds. In Studies of Captive Wild Animals. Edited by Markowitz H, Stevens V. Nelson Hall; 1978:247-309.
- 31. Shapiro AD, Slater PJB, Janik VM: Call usage learning in gray

seals (Halichoerus grypus). J Comp Psych 2004, 118:447-45 Two young gray seals were trained to produce and inhibit vocalizations on command, and emit two different vocalizations in response to two different auditory stimulus types, showing aptitude for call usage learning.

32. Adret P: Vocal learning induced with operant techniques: an overview. Nether J Zool 1993, 43:125-142.

Adret reviews how training methods have historically been used to shape animal vocalizations, and demonstrates how operant conditioning can modify song learning in male zebra finches.

- Schusterman RJ: **Experimental laboratory studies of pinniped behavior**. In *The Behavior and Physiology of Pinnipeds*. Edited by Harrison R, Hubbbard R, Peterson R, Rice C, Schusterman RJ. Appleton-Century-Croft; 1968:87-171. 33.
- 34. Schusterman RJ, Reichmuth C: Novel sound production via contingency learning in the Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens). Anim Cogn 2007, 11:319-327

Operant conditioning methods were used to induce vocal variability and the production of new sounds and new sound combinations in an adult male and an adult female Pacific walrus

- 35. Abgrall P, Terhune JM, Burton HR: Variation of Weddell seal (Leptonychotes weddelli) underwater vocalizations over mesogeographic ranges. Aquatic Mamm 2003, 29:268-277.
- 36. Thomas JA, Stirling I: Geographic variation in the underwater vocalizations of Weddell seals (Leptonychotes weddelli) from Palmer Peninsula and McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. Can J Zool 1983, 61:2203-2210.
- 37. Thomas JA, Puddicombe RA, George M, Lewis D: Variations in underwater vocalizations of Weddell seals (Leptonychotes weddelli) at the Vestfold Hills as a measure of breeding population discreteness. In Biology of the Vestfold Hills, Antarctica. Edited by Ferris JM, Burton HR, Johnstone GW, Bayly IAE. Springer; 1988:279-284.
- 38. Thomas JA, Golladay CL: Geographic variation in leopard seal (Hydrurga leptonyx) underwater vocalizations. In Sensory

Systems of Aquatic Mammals. Edited by Kastelein RA, Thomas JA, Nachtigall PE. De Spil Publishers; 1985:201-221.

- Van Parijs SM, Corkeron PJ, Harvey J, Hayes SA, Mellinger DK, Rouget P, Thompson P, Wahlberg M, Kovacs KM: Patterns in the vocalizations of male harbor seals. J Acoust Soc Am 2003, 113:3403-3410.
- Bjørgesæter A, Ugland KI, Bjørge A: Geographic variation and acoustic structure of the underwater vocalization of harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina*) in Norway, Sweden and Scotland. J Acoust Soc Am 2004, 116:2459-2460.
- 41. Terhune JM: Geographical variation of harp seal underwater vocalizations. Can J Zool 1994, 72.5:892-897.
- Risch D, Christopher CW, Corkeron PJ, Elepfandt A, Kovacs KM, Lydersen C, Stirling I, Van Parijs SM: Vocalizations of male bearded seals, *Erignathus barbatus*: classification and geographical variation. *Anim Behav* 2007, 73:747-762.
- 43. Tyack PL: Convergence of calls as animals form social bonds, active compensation for noisy communication channels, and the evolution of vocal learning in mammals. J Comp Psychol 2008, 122:319-331.
- Janik VM, Slater PJB: Vocal learning in mammals. In Advances in the Study of Behavior. Edited by Slater PJB, Rosenblatt JS, Snowdon CT, Milinski M. Academic Press; 1997:59-99.
- 45. Sjare B, Stirling I, Spencer C: Structural variation in the songs of
 Atlantic walruses breeding in the Canadian High Arctic. Aquatic Mamm 2003, 29:297-318.

The authors review data gained from seven years of acoustic and behavioral observations of wild male walruses at the Dundas Island Polynya, and describe structural changes in the underwater songs and song types within and between individuals, and over successive seasons.

- 46. Cerchio S, Jacobsen JK, Norris TF: **Temporal and geographical** variation in songs of humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*: synchronous change in Hawaiian and Mexican breeding assemblages. *Anim Behav* 2001, **62**:313-329.
- Guinee LN, Chu K, Dorsey EM: Changes over time in the songs of known individual humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae). In Communication and Behavior of Whales. Edited by Payne R. Westview Press; 1983:59-80.
- Helweg DA, Cato DH, Jenkins PF, Garrigue C, McCauley RD: Geographic variation in South Pacific humpback whale songs. Behaviour 1998, 135:1-27.
- 49. Hoelzel AR, Fleischer RC, Campagna C, Le Boeuf BJ, Alvord G: Impact of a population bottleneck on symmetry and genetic

diversity in the northern elephant seal. *J Evol Biol* 2002, **15**:567-575.

- 50. Hoelzel AR: Impact of population bottlenecks on genetic variation and the importance of life-history; a case study of the northern elephant seal. *Biol J Linn Soc* 1999, **68**:23-39.
- 51. Le Boeuf BJ, Peterson RS: Dialects in elephant seals. Science 1969, 166:1654-1660.
- Shipley C, Hines M, Buchwald JS: Vocalizations of northern elephant seal bulls: development of adult call characteristics during puberty. J Mammal 1986:526-536.
- 53. Sanvito S, Galimberti F, Miller EH: Observational evidences of
 vocal learning in southern elephant seals: a longitudinal study. Ethology 2007, 113:137-146.

One of the first studies to address vocal development and learning in wild seals, Sanvito *et al.* provide acoustic data on 29 male southern elephant seals during maturation. To identify factors contributing to the emergence and observed frequencies of different vocal types, they evaluated whether call types belonging to reproductively successful males are adopted by younger males, and inferred that changes in vocal types over successive years are attributable to vocal learning and imitation and not genetic relatedness.

- Hoelzel AR, Halley J, O'brien SJ, Campagna C, Arnborm T, Le Boeuf B, Ralls K, Dover GA: Elephant seal genetic variation and the use of simulation models to investigate historical population bottlenecks. J Hered 1993, 84:443-449.
- 55. Wilbrecht L, Nottebohm F: Vocal learning in birds and humans. Ment Retard Devel Disab Res Rev 2003, 9:135-148.
- Reichmuth C, Schusterman RJ: Annual temporal patterning in the vocalizations of captive seals: two long-term case studies. JASA 2009, 125:2676-2677.
- Hughes WR, Reichmuth R, Mulsow JL, Larsen ON: Source characteristics of the underwater knocking display of a male Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens). JASA 2011, 120:2506.
- 58. Petkov CI, Jarvis ED: Birds, primates, and spoken language
 origins: behavioral phenotypes and neurobiological substrates. Front Evol Neurosci 2012, 4(2):1-24.

Petkov and Jarvis discuss the behavioral and neurobiological distinctions associated with vocal learning and describe how various evolutionary theories of can be tested in a comparative framework.

59. Janik VM: Cetacean vocal learning and communication. Curr Opin Neurolbiol 2014. this issue.