

Seal echolocation?

THE evidence reported by Renouf and Davis¹ for echolocation by a 7-yr-old male harbour seal (*Phoca vitulina*) is not convincing enough to require a reassessment of earlier studies²⁻⁵ which found no experimental evidence for echolocation in pinnipeds.

The ability of the seal to swim across the 7.5 m diameter tank and locate a ring in the dark does not in itself indicate an echolocation ability. In field tests one of us (N. Sonafank, R. Elsner and D.W., in preparation) has demonstrated the ability of a blindfolded spotted seal (*Phoca vitulina largha*) to find and surface through a 50-cm diameter hole in the ice from a starting hole over 30 m away. The seal produced no vocalizations during this sub-ice swimming and was equally successful in the presence of high levels of white background noise. Moreover, Oliver⁴ found a negative correlation between sound emission and improved navigational ability by a 3-yr-old male grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) swimming through different obstacle courses in the dark.

There are several problems with the experimental design of Renouf and Davis' ring discrimination experiment. First, even though the rings are visually identical, the dynamics of motion in the water of the weighted, air-filled ring will be quite different from that of the weighted, water-filled ring. Thus, the animal could discriminate between the rings visually by observing this motion in response to a pressure wave generated by the animal's swimming.

Since the majority of the experimental sessions (8-26) were conducted in the light and since the experimenter holding the targets by means of strings knew which one was the air-filled correct choice, the investigators have failed to rule out the possibility that they provided the animal with inadvertent cues. Finally, during the control sessions when both rings were water-filled, the learning curve, as indicated by the discrimination ratio in Fig. 2 of Renouf and Davis¹, is improving at a rate equal to or greater than that of the initial learning with the acoustically different rings during the experimental phase. Since the learning curve in the control

situation had shown no sign of an asymptote, we cannot understand why the control phase was terminated after only 5 sessions compared with the 26 sessions of the previous experimental phase. We therefore find no justification for the conclusion that this was an adequate control test to confirm the previously demonstrated discrimination.

In a long-term study of vocalizations of spotted seals, we⁶ have recorded pulses similar to those reported by Renouf and Davis¹, although pulse trains were more common than single or double pulses. We find that a major change in frequency of occurrence of the pulse trains occurs on an annual cycle, peaking during the breeding seasons during the 6 yr we have monitored these vocalizations. The field recordings by Renouf and Davis¹ were from a breeding colony, although they did not indicate the time of the year at which the recordings were made.

DOUGLAS WARTZOK

Purdue University,
Fort Wayne, Indiana 46805, USA

RONALD J. SCHUSTERMAN

California State University,
Hayward, California 94542, USA

JANET GAILEY-PHIPPS

Johns Hopkins University,
Baltimore, Maryland 21205, USA

1. Renouf, D. & Davis, M. B. *Nature* **300**, 635-637 (1982).
2. Evans, W. & Haugan, R. *Bull. Sth Calif. Acad. Sci.* **62**, 165-175 (1963).
3. Schusterman, R. J. in *Animal Sonar Systems: Biology and Bionics* (ed. Busnel, R.) 535-617 (Laboratoire de Physiologie Acoustique, Jouyen-Josas, France, 1967).
4. Oliver, G. W. *Behaviour* **67**, 97-114 (1978).
5. Scronce, B. & Ridgway, S. in *Animal Sonar Systems* (eds Busnel, R. & Fish, J.) 991-993 (Plenum, London, 1980).
6. Wartzok, D., Gailey-Phipps, J., Schultz, N. & Beier, J. C. *Proc. 4th bien. Conf. Biol. of Marine Mammals*, 122 (University of California, Santa Cruz, 1981).

RENOUF REPLIES — I agree with Wartzok *et al.* that our study does not necessarily require the reassessment of those which failed to demonstrate echolocation. There is no reason to assume that what might be true for harbour seals would also hold for *Halichoerus*, *Zalophus* or *Pivi Pargha*, although the reverse logic should not be expounded either. My major concern was the fact that those interested in pinniped sensory function were tacitly

'accepting the null hypothesis' and concluding from negative evidence that seals do not use sonar.

I agree with most of the reservations expressed by Wartzok *et al.* about the study¹ and in fact alluded to many of them in the discussion. The discrimination ratios or the control tests were from 0.35 to 0.50 which Wartzok *et al.* feel is a significant trend. Apart from the fact that this difference did not prove to be statistically significant, a change in behaviour from mostly incorrect (0.35) to random (0.50) is not the same as the improvement shown by the seal during discrimination training from 0.63 to criterion. The problem of differential hydrodynamic motion of the rings occurred to me and was one of the reasons I switched to running in daylight. I wanted to make sure that the seal did not move both rings before making his decision. When we could see what was going on, we immediately released the first ring the animal touched as his choice. As far as we could tell, his swimming did not cause any ring motion (I assume Wartzok *et al.* meant displacement wave rather than pressure wave generated by the seal's movement)—which is not to say that what we could not detect that the seal could not. The other problem I still have is the relative paucity and quiet of the clicks the seal made during the discrimination. I postulated in the report¹ that perhaps this was his best solution to boundary reverberation. Since then I have replicated the study with the rings suspended in the middle of the tank. The seal's discrimination ratio improved to 0.90; however, the clicks were still few and faint, but increased in peak energy to 21 kHz. The main difficulty is working in a relatively small tank where boundary reverberations are problematical. The vocalizations from the captive seals are weak compared with those I have obtained in the field, and so I am hoping to resolve this by building an ocean pen.

DEANE RENOUF

Department of Psychology,
Memorial University of Newfoundland,
St John's, Newfoundland,
Canada A1B 3X9

1. Renouf, D. & Davis, M. B. *Nature* **300**, 635-637 (1982).